

United States Patent and Trademark Office

un

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/911,840	07/23/2001	Scott Cumeralto	1725.123US02	3896	
• · · · · ·	7590 02/01/2007 THUENTE, SKAAR &	EXAMINER			
4800 IDS CENT	ΓER	TRAN, KHANH C			
80 SOUTH 8TH MINNEAPOLIS	H STREET S, MN 55402-2100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	2611			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS 02/01/2007			PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/911,8	09/911,840 CUMERALTO ET AL.				
		Examine	•	Art Unit	<u></u>		
	•	Khanh Tra	an	2611			
	The MAILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss		
Period fo							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nations of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wreply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF The 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evolution. In the state of th	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be timil expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>11/20/2006</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	•	o)⊠ This action is r	on-final.				
3)□	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex par</i> te Qu	<i>ayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims			-			
·		ending in the applica	ation.				
-	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-18,20-26 and 28</u> is/are pending in the application. \ 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) <u>15,16,22 and 23</u> is/are allow						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17,18,20,21,24-26 and 28</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				,		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election r	equirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers	•					
	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner					
• —	The drawing(s) filed on <u>07/23/2001</u> is/s		or b) ☐ objected to by	the Examiner.			
,—	Applicant may not request that any object						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including t				1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form PTO-	152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	•		
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	5 . ,			•		
	1. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have bee	en received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have bee	en received in Applicati	ion No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	f the priority docum	ents have been receive	ed in this National Sta	age .		
	application from the Internation	•					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the cert	ified copies not receive	ed.			
	•				•		
Attachmen	ıt(s)		•				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary		* .		
_	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	U-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F				
	er No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Request for Continued Examination (RCE) filed on 11/20/2006 has been entered. Claims 15-18, 20-26 and 28 are pending in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed on 11/20/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. See further explanation in the claim rejection below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 17-18, 20-21, 24-26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Partyka U.S. Patent 6,188,715 B1 (previously cited).

Regarding claim 17, Partyka invention is directed to a radio transmission system including many radio transmitters that use frequency hopping carrier to intermittently transmit very short messages indicative of status of sensors associated with the transmitters, and to provide a synchronization means and method that allows a receiver

Art Unit: 2611

to receive messages from all the frequency hoping transmitters; see column 4 lines 25-35.

In column 5 lines 20-45, Partyka discloses the receiver control logic includes a receiver timer to measure the elapsing time, and a plurality of memory registers to hold digital data indicative of (a) the time of the next transmission occurrence for each transmitter and (b) the frequency of the next transmission occurrence for each transmitter, wherein in operation, the control logic sequentially compares, the data content of the time registers with the data content of the timer and if the transmission is due from a transmitter, the control logic programs the frequency selective radio receiver circuit according to the data content in the frequency register associated with said transmitter. In light of the aforementioned teachings, the act of "comparing the data content of the time registers 136 with the data content of the receiver timer 132 and if the transmission is due from a transmitter" corresponds to the claimed "determining a message arrival time for a first message to be transmitted by a specific end point module".

Partyka does not explicitly teach the claimed step of "predicting, by the first reader, whether the first message will be successfully communicated" as set forth in the claimed invention.

However, Partyka further teaches that the receiver attempts to decode the demodulated signal. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have understood in order to predict whether the transmission is successfully communicated, one of the options is to attempt decoding the demodulated signal to

Art Unit: 2611

determine if the demodulated signal is demodulated without any error; see further in column 13 lines 40-55.

Referring back to column 5 lines 20-45, after the step of attempting to decode the demodulated signal, the control logic modifies the content of the time register by a number representative of the time interval between the successive transmissions for said transmitter and modifies the content of the frequency register according to a predetermined algorithm for this transmitter.

Regarding claim 18, in column 17 lines 20-40, see also FIG. 5, the control logic 190 of the receiver comprises: (a) a plurality of ID memory registers 134 to hold digital data indicative of ID numbers for each transmitter that belongs to the system, (b) a plurality of time memory registers 136 to hold digital data indicative of the time of the next transmission occurrence for each respective transmitter, and (c) a plurality of frequency memory registers 138 to hold digital data indicative of the frequency of the next transmission occurrence for each respective transmitter.

Regarding claim 20, as recited in claim 17 rejection, the transmitters use frequency hopping carrier to intermittently transmit very short messages indicative of status of sensors associated with the transmitters. The receiver as shown in FIG. 2 includes a plurality of frequency memory registers 138 to hold digital data indicative of the frequency of the next transmission occurrence for each respective transmitter.

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 21, in column 17 lines 5-25, the receiver as shown in FIG. 2 further includes a multi-channel RSSI function is to assist the controller with obtaining initial synchronization and to reacquire synchronization with transmitters with whom the synchronization has been lost.

Regarding claim 24, claim is rejected on the same ground as for claim 17 because of similar scope. Furthermore, the receiver controller logic corresponds to the claimed controller

Regarding claim 25, the receiver as shown in FIG. 2 is a base unit.

Regarding claim 26, claim is rejected on the same ground as for claim 18 because of similar scope.

Regarding claim 28, claim is rejected on the same ground as for claim 21 because of similar scope.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 15-16 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 15, claim 15 is allowable over prior art of record because the cited references (e.g. Partyka U.S. Patent 6,188,715 B1) do not teach or suggest the uniquely distinct features "predicting, by the receiver, whether the future second transmission will be unsuccessful based on the first set of determined frequency hopping information" and "responding to a predicted unsuccessful future second transmission by adjusting the communicating between the first end point module and the receiver".

5. Claims 22-23 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 22, claim 22 is allowable over prior art of record because the cited references (e.g. Partyka U.S. Patent 6,188,715 B1) do not teach or suggest the uniquely distinct features "predict whether the future second transmission will be unsuccessful based on the first set of determined frequency hopping information" and "respond to a predicted unsuccessful future second transmission by adjusting the radio communications between the first end point module".

Conclusion

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/911,840

Art Unit: 2611

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-3007. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 08:00 AM - 05:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on 571-272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KCT

01/24/2007 Khanh Tran Primary Examiner